

Tests

IML Tests 2019-08-29

Page 3 :

1 Tests

- Training vs Testing
- K-Fold Cross Validation
- Model performance

2 Feature extraction

- Feature
- Feature extraction
- Image processing : Object detection and tracking

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.ir		, с
Tasts	Training vs Testing	

Testing

▷ How well is my model doing?

▷ How do I improve it?



Page 4 :

/ 114





Page 5 :



Page 6 :



C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr	IML	5 / 114

Tests Feature extraction	Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance
Which model is better?	









Page 7 :



C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr	IML	7 / 114

Tests Feature extraction Which model is better?





Page 8 :







IML └──Tests

Page 10 :

└─ Training vs Testing └─ Training vs Testing

2019-08-29

Training vs Testing

0 0 0 0 Date

0 0 Test



0 0 Tet

• Train 0 0 Test





C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML

Tests Feature extraction Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance Learning Rule	IML N Lests Fraining vs Testing Learning Rule	Learning Rule > NEVER on your taking data for taming New York Your
NEVER use your testing data for training Image: Comparison of the set of	Page 15 :	
C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 15 / 114		
Tests Training vs Testing Feature extraction K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance Model performance	IML ^R - Tests ^R - Training vs Testing ⁶ - Training vs Testing ^R - Training vs Testing	reading us Testing ************************************
<pre>def split_data(data, prob): results = [], [] for row in data: results[0 if random.random() < prob else 1].append(row) return results def train_test_split(x, y, test_pct): data = zip(x, y)</pre>	Page 16 :	

16 / 114

Tests Feature extraction Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance Learning Rule	IML Tests Training vs Testing Learning Rule	Learning Rule • NEVER we your testing data for training
 NEVER use your testing data for training Training Testing How not losing data ? 	Page 17 :	
C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 17 / 114 Tests Training vs Testing Feature extraction K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance K-Fold Cross Validation	IML Tests K-Fold Cross Validation K-Fold Cross Validation	K-Fold Cross Valdation
Training Testing	<u>Page 18 :</u>	
C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 18 / 114		







2019-08-29 K-Fold Cross Validation ••••••

Page 22 :





Traini	ng										Te	esting
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	٠
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

IML Tests K-Fold Cross Validation K-Fold Cross Validation

Page 24 :



Tests Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation	IML	Example : Credit Card Fraud
Example : Credit Card Fraud	 N └─ Tests Model performance Example : Credit Card Fraud 	
END IN	Page 27 :	
C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 27 / 114		
Tests Training vs Testing Feature extraction K-Fold Cross Validation	IML	Example : Credit Card Fraud
Example : Credit Card Fraud	 N └─ lests Model performance Example : Credit Card Fraud 	
	Page 28 :	
284 335 Model : All transactions are good		
C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 28 / 114		

Tests Training vs Testing Feature extraction K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance Model performance	IML ^R - Tests ^M - Model performance ⁶ - Example : Credit Card Fraud	Example : Credit Card Fraud
$ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} $ $ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} $ $ \end{array} $ $ \end{array} $ $ \end{array} $	<u>Page 29 :</u>	
C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 29 / 114		
Tests Training vs Testing Feature extraction K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance Model performance	IML Tests Model performance Example : Credit Card Fraud	Example : Crucit Card Fraud
284 335 Model : All transactions are good Correct : 284 335 / (284 335 + 472) = 99.83% What about bad transactions ??	<u>Page 30 :</u>	

Tests Training vs Testing Feature extraction K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance Model performance	IML Tests Model performance Example : Credit Card Fraud	Example : Credit Crad Fraud
 Nodel : All transactions are fraudulent Catching all bad transactions But Nodel : All transactions 	<u>Page 31 :</u>	
C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 31 / 114		
Tests Fraining vs Testing Feature extraction K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance Model performance	IML 친	Example : medical model

Page 32 :

Ż

A

Trests Feature extraction Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance Confusion Matrix	IML ⁷⁷ — Tests ⁸⁸ — Model performance ⁶¹ — Confusion Matrix	Confusion Matrix Degressi SICA Degressi HEA11YF SICA True Partine Fach Regione HEATTYY False Positive True Negative
Image: Diagnosed SICK Diagnosed HEALTHY SICK True Positive False Negative HEALTHY False Positive True Negative	Page 33 :	
Tests Feature extraction Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance Confusion Matrix	IML ^R - Tests ^B - Model performance ⁶ Confusion Matrix	Confusion Matrix

Page 34 :

	Diagnosed SICK	Diagnosed HEALTHY
SICK	True Positive	False Negative
HEALTHY	False Positive	True Negative

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML



Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance

Confusion Matrix

2019-08-29	IML — Tests — Model performance — Confusion Matrix

gnosed SICK Diagnosed HEALTHY 0 200

SICK 1000 HEALTHY 900

Page 35 :

	Diagnosed SICK	Diagnosed HEALTHY
SICK	1000	200
HEALTHY	800	8000

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr	IML

35 / 114

Tests Training vs Testing Feature extraction K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance Example : spam model

IML	Example : spam model
⁶⁷ └──Tests ⁸⁸ └──Model performance ⁶¹ └──Example : spam model	

Page 36 :

 \ge



Tests Feature extraction	Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance		NL 친 └─ Tests	Confusion Matrix
Confusion Matrix		Balance State Stat	⁸ └─ Model performance ⁶ 1 └─ Confusion Matrix	Diagnost SPAN Diagnost NMS SPAN SPAN Tran Pasice Pala Tagatori Statistics NON SPAN Fala Pasice Tran Tagatori Tran Tagatori
			Page 37 :	

	Diagnosed SPAM	Diagnosed NON SPAM
SPAM	True Positive	False Negative
NON SPAM	False Positive	True Negative

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr	IML	37 / 114

Confusion Matrix

Tests Feature extraction

Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance

IML 67-80-6102 └─Model performance └─Confusion Matrix

SPAM 100 NON SPAM 30

Page 38 :

	Diagnosed SPAM	Diagnosed NON SPAM
SPAM	100	170
NON SPAM	30	700

	Tests Feature extraction	Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance
nfusion Matrix		

Со



The second secon

Page 39 :



C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr	IML	39 / 114

	Tests Feature extraction	Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance	
Confusion Matrix			







Page 40 :



Page 41 :

How many did we classify correctly?

Accuracy

	Diagnosed SICK	Diagnosed HEALTHY
SICK	1000	200
HEALTHY	800	8000

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr	IML 41 / 114
Tests Feature extraction	Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance
Accuracy	



How many did we classify correctly?

	Diagnosed SICK	Diagnosed HEALTHY
SICK	1000	200
HEALTHY	800	8000

Accuracy = (1000+8000)/10000 = 90%

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML

IML Tests Model performance Accuracy

Accuracy Here many del vs classify correctly 7
 Image: second system
 Diagonal System

 Image: second system
 Train System

 Image: second system
 Train System

 Accuracy = Office
 Train System

 Accuracy = Office
 State

Page 43 :

How many did we classify correctly?

Accuracy

	Diagnosed SPAM	Diagnosed NON SPAM
SPAM	100	170
NON SPAM	30	700

Accuracy = 80% Accuracy = Correctly classified / all

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr	IML

43 / 114



def accuracy(tp, fp, fn, tn): correct = tp + tn total = tp + fp + fn + tn return correct / total



Page 44 :

Model performance	R L Tests
onfusion Matrix	8 └─Model performance

connu	alon math.	<u>`</u>	
		Diagnosed SICK	Diagnosed HEALTHY
			살
	SICK		False Negative #
		2	
	HEALTHY	False Positive	

Page 45 :

	Diagnosed SICK	Diagnosed HEALTHY
SICK		False Negative
HEALTHY	False Positive	

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr	IML

C

Tests Feature extraction Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance

	Diagnosed SICK	Diagnosed HEALTHY
SICK		False Negative
	<u> </u>	
HEALTHY	False Positive 了	



Page 46 :

45 / 114







Page 47 :



C	. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr	IML	47 / 114
	Tests Feature extraction	Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance	







Page 48 :



 How many did we classify correctly ?

 Size: Diagnosal HEALTHY

 Size: Diagnosal HEALTHY

 HEALTHY
 3000

 Pracision
 3000

 Pracision
 Size: Diagnosal HEALTHY

Page 49 :

Page 50 :

How many did we classify correctly?

Precision

	Diagnosed SICK	Diagnosed HEALTHY
SICK	1000	200
HEALTHY	800	8000

Precision = 1000 / (1000 + 800) = 55,7%

	C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr	IML	49 / 114
	Tests Feature extraction	Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance	
Precision			



How many did we classify correctly?

	Diagnosed SPAM	Diagnosed NON SPAM
SPAM	100	170
NON SPAM	30	700

Precision= 76.8%

Precision = True Positives/ (True Positives + False Positives)



Page 52 :

How many did we classify correctly?

	Diagnosed SICK	Diagnosed HEALTHY
SICK	1000	200
HEALTHY	800	8000

Recall = 1000/(1000+200) = 83.3%



Page 53 :

How many did we classify correctly?

	Diagnosed SPAM	Diagnosed NON SPAM
SPAM	100	170
NON SPAM	30	700

Recall = 37%

Recall

Recall

Recall = True Positives / (True Positives + False Negatives)

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr	IML	53 / 114
	_	
Tests Feature extraction	Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance	

IML Recall 2019-08-29 └─ Tests └─Model performance daf ranali(sp. fp. fs. ts); ranam sp.7 (sp. fs. └─ Recall

Page 54 :

def recall(tp, fp, fn, tn):
 return tp / (tp + fn)

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML



Tests Feature extraction Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance Average	IML ⁷⁰ — Tests ⁸⁰ — Model performance ⁶¹ — Average	
	Page 57 :	
C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 57 / 114		
Tests Feature extraction Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance Average	IML 7 — Tests 8 — Model performance 6 — Average	Average V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V
	Page 58 :	
Model : All transactions are good Precision = 100% Recall = 0% Average = 50%		

Tests Feature extraction Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance Average	IML ⁸⁷ — Tests ⁸⁹ — Model performance ⁶¹ — Average	Average In the second
<complex-block><complex-block><complex-block><complex-block><complex-block><complex-block><complex-block><complex-block><complex-block><complex-block><image/><complex-block><image/><image/><image/><image/><image/><text><text><text></text></text></text></complex-block></complex-block></complex-block></complex-block></complex-block></complex-block></complex-block></complex-block></complex-block></complex-block></complex-block>	Page 59 :	
Tests Feature extraction Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance F1 Score	IML ⁸⁷ — Tests ⁸⁹ — Model performance ⁶⁰ — F1 Score	F1 Score F1 Score = (2 + Precision + Recti) / (Precision + Recti) Image: State = (2 + Precision + Rect) Image: Stat
F1 Score = (2 × Precision × Recall) / (Precision + Recall) > Precision : 76,9% > Precision : 76,9% > Recall : 37% > Average : 56,9% > F1 Score = 50% > F1 Score = 50%	Page 60 :	



- 06: n_samples = len(digits.images)
- 07: data = digits.images.reshape((n_samples, -1))
- 08: # Create a classifier: a support vector classifier 09: classifier = svm.SVC(gamma=0.001)
- 55. 5145511161 5vm.5v0(gamma-0.001)
- 10: # We learn the digits on the first half of the digits
 11: classifier.fit(data[:n_samples // 2], digits.target[:n_samples // 2])
- 12: # Now predict the value of the digit on the second half:
- 13: expected = digits.target[n_samples // 2:]
- 14: predicted = classifier.predict(data[n_samples // 2:])
- 15: print("Classification_report_for_classifier_%s:\n%s\n" \
- 16: % (classifier, metrics.classification_report(expected, predicted)))
- - C. BUCHE buche@enib.fr IML



Classificatio	n report <mark>for</mark>	classifi	er SVC(C=1.	0, cache_size	=200, class_weight=
None, co	oef0=0.0,				
decision_	function_sha	pe='ovr',	degree=3,	gamma=0.001,	kernel='rbf',
max_iter=	-1, probabil	ity=False	, random_st	ate=None, shr	inking=True,
tol=0.001	, verbose=Fa	lse):			
	precision	recall	f1-score	support	
0	1 00	0 00	0 00	00	
0	1.00	0.99	0.99	00	
1	0.99	0.97	0.98	91	
2	0.99	0.99	0.99	86	
3	0.98	0.87	0.92	91	
4	0.99	0.96	0.97	92	
5	0.95	0.97	0.96	91	
6	0.99	0.99	0.99	91	
7	0.96	0.99	0.97	89	
8	0.94	1.00	0.97	88	
9	0.93	0.98	0.95	92	
accuracy			0.97	899	
macro avg	0 97	0 97	0.97	899	
macro avg	0.37	0.07	0.07	000	
weighted avg	0.97	0.97	0.97	033	

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr

63 / 114

Tests Training vs Testing Feature extraction K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance Model performance

Coi	nf۱	usid	on r	nati	rix					
033	37	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0]
Ε	0	88	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1]
Ε	0	0	85	1	0	0	0	0	0	0]
Ε	0	0	0	79	0	3	0	4	5	0]
Ε	0	0	0	0	88	0	0	0	0	4]
Ε	0	0	0	0	0	88	1	0	0	2]
Ε	0	1	0	0	0	0	90	0	0	0]
Ε	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	88	0	0]
Ε	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	88	0]
Ε	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	90]]



Page 63 :

Demo : measure.py



64 / 114





Page 65 :



* * N R



Page 66 :



Underfitting/overfitting





Normal Association



Page 68 :





Net White Days

• 🐇

Underfitting : Not Avienals Underfitting : Avienals Overfitting : Not Weller Dags OK : Not Dags OK : Not Dags

Overfitting : Not White Dogs OK : Not Dogs Underfitting : Animals Overfitting : White Dogs OK : Dogs



 $\mathbf{\Theta}$ Underfitting : Not Animals Underfitting : Animals Overfitting : Not White Dogs Overfitting : White Dogs OK : Not Dogs OK : Dogs the more data you have, the harder it is to over- fit.

Testing set : Bad

Bad

Good



Define problem (da Define problem (data)
 List tools (algorithms)
 Evaluate tools to find th

 Accuracy
 Precision
 Recall
 F1

To sum up

Page 73 :

- ▷ Define problem (data)
- List tools (algorithms)
- ▷ Evaluate tools to find the best one
 - ♦ Accuracy
 - ◊ Precision
 - ◊ Recall
 - ◊ F1

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr

73 / 114

Feature extraction

- Training vs Testing
- K-Fold Cross Validation
- Model performance

2 Feature extraction

- Feature
- Feature extraction
- Image processing : Object detection and tracking

IML 2019-08-29 Feature extraction

Page 74 :



Features are whatever inputs we provide to our model.





Type of features we have constrains the type of models we can use :

- ▷ The Naive Bayes classifier is suited to *yes-or-no features*
- ▷ Regression models require *numeric features*
- ▷ Decision trees can deal with *numeric or categorical data*.

eature extraction mage processing : Object detection

Features extraction

- Feature extraction starts from an initial set of measured data and builds derived values (features) intended to be informative and non-redundant, facilitating the subsequent learning and generalization steps
- Feature extraction is a dimensionality reduction process, where an initial set of raw variables is reduced to more manageable groups (features) for processing, while still accurately and completely describing the original data set

Feature extraction







Can we detect robot using low quality images?



Instance extraction starts from an initial set of measured dat and huilids derived values (features) interned to be informat and non-redundant, ficilitation the unsequent learning and generalization steps Fastere extractions in a dimensionality reduction process, where gain binding the first workplace is indexed to mean accurately and completely detecting the original data set accurately and completely detecting the original data set.

Page 77 :





Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking

Example : robot detection



IML Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking Example : robot detection

Page 80 :

Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking

HOG+SVM

- ▷ Application : Persons detector
- ▶ HOG : Histograms of Oriented Gradients
- ▷ The intent of a feature descriptor is to generalize the object in such a way that the same object (in this case a person) produces as close as possible to the same feature descriptor when viewed under different conditions. This makes the classification task easier.
- ▷ The creators of this approach trained a Support Vector Machine (a type of machine learning algorithm for classification), or "SVM", to recognize HOG descriptors of people.

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML

81 / 114



HOG : entire person is represented by a single feature vector





Page 81 :



Page 82



IML

Page 84 :

Feature extraction

Video : Sliding Window for Object Detection.mp4

Image processing : Object detection and tracking

HOG+SVM : sliding detection

2019-08-29

C+SVM - eliding dat





Page 85 :

Demo : python3 sliding_window.py -image images/image.jpg





image = cv2.imread(args["image"]) (winW, winH) = (128, 128)# loop over the image pyramid for resized in pyramid(image, scale=1.5): # loop over the sliding window for each layer of the pyramid for (x, y, window) in sliding_window(resized, stepSize=32, windowSize=(winW, winH)): # if the window does not meet our desired window size, ignore it if window.shape[0] != winH or window.shape[1] != winW: continue # WHERE APPLY A CLASSIFIER # since we do not have a classifier, we will just draw the window clone = resized.copy() cv2.rectangle(clone, (x, y), (x + winW, y + winH), (0, 255, 0), 2) cv2.imshow("Window", clone) cv2.waitKey(1) time.sleep(0.025) C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IMI 85 / 114

Image processing : Object detection and tracking

Feature extraction

ap.add_argument("-i", "--image", required=True, help="Pathutoutheuimage")

construct the argument parser and parse the arguments

load the image and define the window width and height

HOG+SVM : sliding detection

ap = argparse.ArgumentParser()

args = vars(ap.parse_args())

- Tests Feature extraction HOG+SVM
 - At each position of the detector window, a HOG descriptor is computed for the detection window.
 - ▷ This descriptor is then shown to the trained SVM, which classifies it as either "person" or "not a person".
 - To recognize persons at different scales, the image is subsampled to multiple sizes. Each of these subsampled images is searched

Tests Feature Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking HOG : Step 1 : Preprocessing



C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr

87	114



IML





Page 87 :

As mentioned earlier HOG feature descriptor used for pedestrian detection is calculated on a 64×128 patch of an image. Of course, an image may be of any size. Typically patches at multiple scales are analyzed at many image locations. The only constraint is that the patches being analyzed have a fixed aspect ratio. In our case, the patches need to have an aspect ratio of 1:2. For example, they can be 100×200 , 128×256 , or 1000×2000 but not 101×205 . To illustrate this point I have shown a large image of size 720×475 . We have selected a patch of size 100×200 for calculating our HOG feature descriptor. This patch is cropped out of an image and resized to 64×128 . Now we are ready to calculate the HOG descriptor for this image patch.

IML	HOG : Step 2 : Calculate the Gradient Images
 Feature extraction Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking HOG : Step 2 : Calculate the Gradient Images 	4 0 1

Page 88 :

To calculate a HOG descriptor, we need to first calculate the horizontal and vertical gradients; after all, we want to calculate the histogram of gradients. This is easily achieved by filtering the image with the following kernels.



HOG : Step 2 : Calculate the Gradient Images

IML Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking HOG : Step 2 : Calculate the Gradient Images

Page 89 :

We can also achieve the same results, by using Sobel operator in OpenCV with kernel size 1.

Read image im = cv2.imread('bolt.png') im = np.float32(im) / 255.0

Calculate gradient
gx = cv2.Sobel(img, cv2.CV_32F, 1, 0, ksize=1)
gy = cv2.Sobel(img, cv2.CV_32F, 0, 1, ksize=1)

Python Calculate gradient magnitude and direction (in degrees)
mag, angle = cv2.cartToPolar(gx, gy, angleInDegrees=True)

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML

89 / 114





Left : Absolute value of x-gradient. Center : Absolute value of y-gradient. Right : Magnitude of gradient.



Page 90 :

Notice, the x-gradient fires on vertical lines and the y-gradient fires on horizontal lines. The magnitude of gradient fires where ever there is a sharp change in intensity. None of them fire when the region is smooth. I have deliberately left out the image showing the direction of gradient because direction shown as an image does not convey much. The gradient image removed a lot of non-essential information (e.g. constant colored background), but highlighted outlines. In other words, you can look at the gradient image and still easily say there is a person in the picture. At every pixel, the gradient has a magnitude and a direction. For color images, the gradients of the three channels are evaluated (a shown in the figure above). The magnitude of gradient a pixel is the maximum gradient.

Tests Feature extraction Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking

HOG : Step 3 : Calculate Histogram of Gradients in 8x8 cells



C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML

91 / 114





Center : The RGB patch and gradients represented using arrows. Right : The gradients in the same patch represented as numbers





Page 91 :

In this step, the image is divided into 8x8 cells and a histogram of gradients is calculated for each 8x8 cells. We will learn about the histograms in a moment, but before we go there let us first understand why we have divided the image into 8x8 cells. One of the important reasons to use a feature descriptor to describe a patch of an image is that it provides a compact representation. An 8x8 image patch contains 8x8x3 = 192 pixel values. The gradient of this patch contains 2 values (magnitude and direction) per pixel which adds up to 8x8x2 = 128 numbers. By the end of this section we will see how these 128 numbers are represented using a 9-bin histogram which can be stored as an array of 9 numbers. Not only is the representation more compact, calculating a histogram over a patch makes this representation much less sensitive to noise.

But why 8x8 patch ? Why not 32x32? It is a design choice informed by the scale of features we are looking for. HOG was used for pedestrian detection initially. 8x8 cells in a photo of a pedestrian scaled to 64x128 are big enough to capture interesting features (e.g. the face, the top of the head etc.).

The histogram is essentially a vector (or an array) of 9 bins (numbers) corresponding to angles 0, 20, 40, 60 \dots 160.



Page 92 :

If you are a beginner in computer vision, the image in the center is very informative. It shows the patch of the image overlaid with arrows showing the gradient — the arrow shows the direction of gradient and its length shows the magnitude. Notice how the direction of arrows points to the direction of change in intensity and the magnitude shows how big the difference is.

On the right, we see the raw numbers representing the gradients in the 8x8 cells with one minor difference — the angles are between 0 and 180 degrees instead of 0 to 360 degrees. These are called "unsigned" gradients because a gradient and it's negative are represented by the same numbers. In other words, a gradient arrow and the one 180 degrees opposite to it are considered the same. But, why not use the 0-360 degrees? Empirically it has been shown that unsigned gradients for who better than signed gradients for pedestrian detection. Some implementations of HOG will allow you to specify if you want to use signed gradients.

The next step is to create a histogram of gradients in these 8x8 cells. The histogram contains 9 bins corresponding to angles 0, 20, 40 \dots 160.





C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML

93 / 114









Page 93 :

The following figure illustrates the process. We are looking at magnitude and direction of the gradient of the same 8x8 patch as in the previous figure. A bin is selected based on the direction, and the vote (the value that goes into the bin) is selected based on the magnitude. Let's first focus on the pixel encircled in blue. It has an angle (direction) of 80 degrees and magnitude of 2. So it adds 2 to the 5th bin. The gradient at the pixel encircled using red has an angle of 10 degrees and magnitude of 4. Since 10 degrees is half way between 0 and 20, the vote by the pixel splits evenly into the two bins.



Page 94 :

There is one more detail to be aware of. If the angle is greater than 160 degrees, it is between 160 and 180, and we know the angle wraps around making 0 and 180 equivalent. So in the example below, the pixel with angle 165 degrees contributes proportionally to the 0 degree bin and the 160 degree bin.

Tests Feature Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking HOG : Step 3 : Calculate Histogram of Gradients in 8x8 cells



C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML





Page 95 :

The contributions of all the pixels in the 8x8 cells are added up to create the 9-bin histogram. For the patch above, it looks like this.

In our representation, the y-axis is 0 degrees. You can see the histogram has a lot of weight near 0 and 180 degrees, which is just another way of saying that in the patch gradients are pointing either up or down.



Page 96 :

95 / 114

In the previous step, we created a histogram based on the gradient of the image. Gradients of an image are sensitive to overall lighting. If you make the image darker by dividing all pixel values by 2, the gradient magnitude will change by half, and therefore the histogram values will change by half. Ideally, we want our descriptor to be independent of lighting variations. In other words, we would like to "normalize" the histogram so they are not affected by lighting variations.

Before I explain how the histogram is normalized, let's see how a vector of length 3 is normalized.

Let's say we have an RGB color vector [128, 64, 32]. The length of this vector is $\sqrt{128^2 + 64^2 + 32^2} = 146.64$. This is also called the L2 norm of the vector. Dividing each element of this vector by 146.64 gives us a normalized vector [0.87, 0.43, 0.22]. Now consider another vector in which the elements are twice the value of the first vector 2 × [128, 64, 32] = [256, 128, 64]. You can work it out yourself to see that normalizing [256, 128, 64] will result in [0.87, 0.43, 0.22], which is the same as the normalized version of the original RGB vector. You can see that normalizing a vector removes the scale.

Now that we know how to normalize a vector, you may be tempted to think that while calculating HOG you can simply normalize the 9x1 histogram the same way we normalized the 3x1 vector above. It is not a bad idea, but a better idea is to normalize over a bigger sized block of 16x16. A 16x16 block has 4 histograms which can be concatenated to form a 36 x 1 element vector and it can be normalized just the way a 3x1 vector is normalized. The window is then moved by 8 pixels (see animation) and a normalized 36x1 vector is calculated over this window and the process is repeated.

Testure Feature Feature Feature IML Hor sep 5 : Calculate the HOG feature vector HOG : Step 5 : Calculate the HOG feature vector IML Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking Image processing : Object detection and track

To calculate the final feature vector for the entire image patch, the 36x1 vectors are concatenated into one giant vector. What is the size of this vector? Let us calculate

- How many positions of the 16x16 blocks do we have? There are 7 horizontal and 15 vertical positions making a total of 7 x 15 = 105 positions.
- Each 16x16 block is represented by a 36x1 vector. So when we concatenate them all into one gaint vector we obtain a 36x105 = 3780 dimensional vector.



97 / 114







Page 98 :

Page 97 :

The HOG descriptor of an image patch is usually visualized by plotting the 9x1 normalized histograms in the 8x8 cells. See image on the side. You will notice that dominant direction of the histogram captures the shape of the person, especially around the torso and legs.



IML Feature extraction 2019-08-29 Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking Frame differencing Image processing : Object detection and tracking

if __name__ == '__main__ ': # Define the video capture object cap = cv2.VideoCapture(0)

Define the scaling factor for the images $scaling_factor = 0.5$

Grab the current frame prev_frame = get_frame(cap, scaling_factor)

Grab the next frame cur_frame = get_frame(cap, scaling_factor)

Grab the frame after that next_frame = get_frame(cap, scaling_factor)

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr

101 / 114







Frame differencing

Page 102 :

Page 101 :

Frame differencing



IML Background substraction Feature extraction 2019-08-29 Image processing : Object detection and tracking Feature extraction # Emp the frame from the makes a work the near kits the 'En' key hill frame # God the correct frame frame or got(frame(sey, 1.4) # God the correct for the frame or got(frame) and and or hg_matemater.apply(frame, in Image processing : Object detection and tracking Background substraction # Convert preparate image to MCB roler image mask = erol ovticier(mask, erol OLLB_GRAFIDER) Background substraction mak = =0.eve(bin(maks, =0.00000,000 # 600000 the inages =0.00000(20000,0000,0000) # Check if the more bin the 'Ene' key # c.eve(they (10) # c.eve(the) # fine all the vision d. destrophilitistics () if __name__=='__main__': Page 105 : # Keep reading the frames from the webcam # until the user hits the 'Esc' key while True: # Grab the current frame frame = get_frame(cap, 0.5) # Compute the mask mask = bg_subtractor.apply(frame, learningRate=learning_rate) # Convert grayscale image to RGB color image mask = cv2.cvtColor(mask, cv2.COLOR_GRAY2BGR) # Display the images cv2.imshow('Input', frame) cv2.imshow('Output', mask & frame) # Check if the user hit the 'Esc' key c = cv2.waitKey(10)**if** c == 27: break # Release the video capture object cap.release() # Close all the windows C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr 105 / 114



▷ Color space tracker : define the color first.

Feature extraction

Image processing : Object detection and tracking

- \triangleright CAMShift : consider a region of interest
- ▷ Select the region

CAMShift

Tests Feature extraction

Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking

CAMShift

import cv2
import numpy as np

Define a class to handle object tracking related functionality class ObjectTracker(object): def __init__(self, scaling_factor=0.5): # Initialize the video capture object self.cap = cv2.VideoCapture(0) # Capture the frame from the webcam _, self.frame = self.cap.read() # Scaling factor for the captured frame self.scaling_factor = scaling_factor # Resize the frame self.frame = cv2.resize(self.frame, None, fx=self.scaling_factor, fy=self.scaling_factor, interpolation=cv2.INTER_AREA) # Create a window to display the frame cv2.namedWindow('Object_Tracker') # Set the mouse callback function to track the mouse cv2.setMouseCallback('Object_Tracker', self.mouse_event) # Initialize variable related to rectangular region selection self.selection = None # Initialize variable related to starting position

self.drag_start = None
Initialize variable related to the state of tracking
self.tracking_state = 0

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML

107 / 114



Define a method to track the mouse events def mouse_event(self, event, x, y, flags, param): # Convert x and y coordinates into 16-bit numpy integers x, y = np.int16([x, y]) # Check if a mouse button down event has occurred if event == cv2.EVENT_LBUTTONDOWN: self.drag_start = (x, y) self.tracking_state = 0

Check if the user has started selecting the region if self.drag_start: if flags & cv2.EVENT_FLAG_LBUTTON: # Extract the dimensions of the frame h, w = self.frame.shape[:2] # Get the initial position xi, yi = self.drag_start # Get the max and min values x0, y0 = np.maximum(0, np.minimum([xi, yi], [x, y])) x1, y1 = np.minimum([w, h], np.maximum([xi, yi], [x, y])) # Reset the selection variable self.selection = None # Finalize the rectangular selection if x1-x0 > 0 and y1-y0 > 0: self.selection = (x0, y0, x1, y1) IML Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking CAMShift

Page 107 :



Page 108 :







Image processing : Object detection and tracking

Feature extraction

CAMShift



Check if the system in the "tracking" mode if self.tracking_state == 1: # Reset the selection variable self.selection = None # Compute the histogram back projection hsv_backproj = cv2.calcBackProject([hsv], [0], self.hist, [0, 180], 1) # Compute bitwise AND between histogram # backprojection and the mask hsv_backproj &= mask # Define termination criteria for the tracker term_crit = (cv2.TERM_CRITERIA_EPS | cv2.TERM_CRITERIA_COUNT, 10, 1)

