

Tests

Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance

2019-08-29 IML Tests

¹ Tests Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance $\begin{array}{lcl} \bullet & \text{Feature extraction} \\ \bullet & \text{Feature extraction} \\ \bullet & \text{Fraature extraction} \\ \bullet & \text{Inverse reconstruction} \times \text{OR} \end{array}$

Page 3 :

1 Tests

- **•** Training vs Testing
- K-Fold Cross Validation
- Model performance

2 Feature extraction

- **•** Feature
- **•** Feature extraction
- Image processing : Object detection and tracking

Tests [Feature ext](#page-1-0)raction Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation [Model perform](#page-0-0)[ance](#page-4-0) [Tes](#page-1-0)[ting](#page-36-0)

 \triangleright [How well is m](#page-12-0)y model doing?

 \triangleright How do I improve it?

Which model is better ?

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Page 5 :

Page 6 :

Which model is better ?

 $\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{1}} & $\multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{2}}$ & $\multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{3}}$ & $\multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{4}}$ & $\multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{5}}$ & $\multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{6}}$ & $\multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{6}}$ & $\multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{6}}$ & $\multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{6}}$ & $\multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\textbf{6}}$ & $\multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\$

Page 7 :

Page 8 :

Training vs Testing IML 2019-08-29 Tests $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}}{\dot{\mathbf{r}}}$ \Box Training vs Testing L Training vs Testing

Page 10 :

 $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\begin{smallmatrix} 0&0\\ 0&0\end{smallmatrix}$ and

Page 22 :

Page 24 :

Page 32 :

Example : medical model

CONTROL

Confusion Matrix Diagnosed SICK Diagnosed HEALTHY
SICK True Positive Table Negative Table **HEALTHY** False Positive **1** True Negative

Page 33 :

[Con](#page-1-0)[fusion Matrix](#page-36-0)

Page 34 :

Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation Model performance

Confusion Matrix

Page 35 :

 $35 / 114$

Page 36 :

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 36 / 114

Page 37 :

Tests
[Feature ext](#page-1-0)raction Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation [Model perform](#page-0-0)[ance](#page-4-0) [Con](#page-1-0)[fusion Matrix](#page-36-0)

Confusion Matrix

Non SPAM Diagnosed SPAM Diagnosed NON SPAM
SPAM True Positive **False Negative Positive True Negative**

Page 38 :

Confusion Matrix

Page 40 :

2019-08-29 IML Tests Model performance L Accuracy

Page 41 :

How many did we classify correctly ?

Accuracy

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 41 / 114

Accuracy How many did we classify correctly ?

Diagnosed SICK Diagnosed HEALTHY SICK 1000 200 HEALTHY 800 8000 Accuracy = (1000+8000)/10000 = 90% 2019-08-29 IML Tests Model performance Accuracy

Accuracy

How many did we classify correctly ?
 EXECUTE: Diagnosed SICK Diagnosed HEALTHY SICK 1000 200 HEALTHY 800 8000

Page 42 :

How many did we classify correctly ?

Accuracy = $(1000+8000)/10000 = 90\%$

2019-08-29 IML Tests Model performance L Accuracy

Accuracy How many did we classify correctly ?
 Example of Diagnosed SPAM | Diagnosed NON SPAM | SPAM 100
NON SPAM 30 700 Accuracy = 80% Accuracy = Correctly classified / all

Page 43 :

How many did we classify correctly ?

Accuracy $= 80\%$

Accuracy

Accuracy $=$ Correctly classified $/$ all

def accuracy (tp, fp, fn, tn) :

correct = $tp + tn$

total = $tp + fp + fn + tn$ return correct / total

Page 44 :

Diagnosed SICK Diagnosed HEALTHY

Page 45 :

Tests [Feature ext](#page-1-0)raction

Training vs Testing K-Fold Cross Validation [Model perform](#page-0-0)[ance](#page-4-0)

[Con](#page-1-0)[fusion Matrix](#page-36-0)

Page 46 :

 $-45 / 114$

Precision

Page 47 :

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 48 / 114

Page 48 :

Precision How many did we classify correctly ?
 EXECUTE: Diagnosed SICK Diagnosed HEALTHY SICK 1000 200 HEALTHY 800 8000 Precision = 1000/ (1000+800) =55,7%

Page 49 :

How many did we classify correctly ?

Precision

Precision = $1000 / (1000 + 800) = 55,7%$

How many did we classify correctly ?

Precision= 76.8%

 $Precision = True Positive / (True Positive + False Positive)$

 $C.$ BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML $50 / 114$

Page 52 :

How many did we classify correctly ?

Recall = $1000 / (1000 + 200) = 83.3%$

 $C.$ BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML $53 / 114$

Page 53 :

How many did we classify correctly ?

 $Recall = 37%$

[Rec](#page-1-0)[all](#page-36-0)

Recall

 $Recall = True Positives / (True Positives + False Negatives)$

[Feature ext](#page-1-0)raction

Recall def recall (tp, $\{p_1, f_2,$ tn) :
 $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi\epsilon}}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\binom{p_i-p_i}{p_i-p_i}$ 2019-08-29 IML Tests Model performance L Recall

Page 54 :

def $recall(tp, fp, fn, tn)$: return tp $/$ (tp + fn)

[Model perform](#page-0-0)[ance](#page-4-0)

- 01: # Import datasets , classifiers and performance metrics
- 02: from sklearn import datasets, sym, metrics
- 03: digits = datasets.load_digits ()
- 04: # To apply a classifier on this data, we need to flatten the image, to
- 05: # turn the data in a (samples, feature) matrix:
- 06: n_samples = len (digits . images)
- 07: data = digits.images.reshape $((n_samples, -1))$
- 08: # Create a classifier: a support vector classifier 09: classifier = $sym.SVC(gamma=0.001)$
-
- 10: # We learn the digits on the first half of the digits 11: classifier . fit (data [: n_samples // 2] , digits . target [: n_samples // 2])
-
- 12: # Now predict the value of the digit on the second half :
- 13: expected = digits.target [n_samples // 2:]
- 14: predicted = classifier . predict (data [n_samples // 2:])
- 15: $\text{print}("Classification_report_for_classification_3: \n%s \n$ "
- 16: % (classifier, metrics .classification_report (expected, predicted)))
- 17: print ("Confusion_Lmatrix:\n%s" % metrics.confusion_matrix (expected, predicted))

F1 Score

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{def } f1_means \left(\eta_1, \; \eta_2, \; \eta_1, \; \eta_2 \right) \\ \text{p + prasizing} \left(\eta_1, \; \eta_2, \; \eta_1, \; \eta_2 \right) \\ \text{r = rand1} \left(\eta_1, \; \eta_2, \; \eta_1, \; \eta_2 \right) \\ \text{rases} \; 2 + p + r \; / \; (p + r) \end{array}$

Page 62 :

Lines 15 and 16 show the synthesis of the mathematical measurements proposed by sklearn for the 10 classes to be predicted (the 10 possible figures recognized) ; line 17 shows the confusion matrix, that is, a table showing the measures that summarize the quality of the model for these 10 classes.The interest of this table is that it shows very visually the proportion of good predictions. , and the distribution by bad prediction carried out.

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 63 / 114

Page 63 :

Demo : measure.py

Page 65 :

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$

BEA

Page 66 :

Underfitting/overfitting

 $\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{y} & \mathbf{y} & \mathbf{y} \\ \hline \mathbf{y} & \mathbf{y} & \mathbf{y} \end{array}$

[Und](#page-1-0)[erfitting/overfitting](#page-36-0)

Page 68 :

Underfitting: Animals

OK: Dogs

Overfitting : White Dogs

Underfitting/overfitting

F

 $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{array}$

Underfitting/overfitting

 $\bullet^*_{\mathbb{K}}$

 $|\frac{3}{2}\frac{2}{3}\rangle$

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \texttt{{\color{blue}Coulomb}} & \texttt{{\color{blue}Coulomb}} & \texttt{{\color{blue}Coulomb}}\\ \hline \texttt{Coulomb}} & \texttt{{\color{blue}Coulomb}} & \texttt{{\color{blue}Coulomb}}\\ \hline \texttt{{\color{blue}Coulomb}} & \texttt{{\color{blue}Coulomb}} & \texttt{{\color{blue}Coulomb}} & \texttt{{\color{blue}Coulomb}}\\ \hline \texttt{{\color{blue}Coulomb}} & \texttt{{\color{blue}Coulomb}} & \texttt{{\color{blue}Coulomb}} & \texttt{{\color{blue}Coulomb}} & \texttt{{\color{blue}$

Underfitting: Not Animals

OK: Not Dogs

Overfitting: Not White Dogs

Page 72 :

Bad

Bad

Model performance

. Define problem (data) . List tools (algorithms) . Evaluate tools to find the best one Accuracy Precision Recall F1

To sum up

Page 73 :

- \triangleright Define problem (data)
- \triangleright List tools (algorithms)
- \triangleright Evaluate tools to find the best one
	- \Diamond Accuracy
	- \Diamond Precision
	- \Diamond Recall
	- \Diamond F1

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML [73 / 114](#page-36-0)

Tests [Feature ext](#page-1-0)raction Feature

1 Tests

- **Training vs Testing**
- [K-Fold Cross](#page-4-0) Validation
- · [Model performanc](#page-8-0)e

2 Feature extraction

- **•** [Feature](#page-36-0)
- **•** [F](#page-37-0)eature extraction
- [Image proce](#page-38-0)ssing : Object detection and tracking

Page 74 :

Type of features we have constrains the type of models we can use :

Tests [Feature ext](#page-1-0)raction Feature Feature extraction

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 75 / 114

- \triangleright The Naive Bayes classifier is suited to yes-or-no features
- \triangleright Regression models require numeric features

[Type of features](#page-36-0)

Features are whatever inputs we provide to our model.

 \triangleright Decision trees can deal with numeric or categorical data.

Page 76 :

Features extraction

 \triangleright feature extraction starts from an initial set of measured data and builds derived values (features) intended to be informative and non-redundant, facilitating the subsequent learning and generalization steps

Feature Feature extraction

 \triangleright Feature extraction is a dimensionality reduction process, where an initial set of raw variables is reduced to more manageable groups (features) for processing, while still accurately and completely describing the original data set

Tests Feature extraction

Can we detect robot using low quality images ?

. feature extraction starts from an initial set of measured data feature extraction starts from an initial set of measured data
and builds derived values (features) intended to be informative
and non-redundant, facilitating the subsequent learning and
gonesslistsing atoms and builds derived valu
and non-redundant, fa
generalization steps
Fortunation $\label{eq:constrained} \begin{minipage}{0.9\textwidth} \begin{tabular}{p{0.8cm}} \textbf{generalization step} & \textbf{non-adjoint} \\ \textbf{system} & \textbf{step} & \textbf{step} \\ \textbf{system} & \textbf{step} & \textbf{step} \\ \textbf{mean} & \textbf{init} & \textbf{step} & \textbf{variable} \\ \textbf{mean} & \textbf{time} & \textbf{time} \\ \textbf{mean} & \textbf{step} & \textbf{f} \\ \textbf{mean} & \textbf{step} & \textbf{f} \\ \textbf{score} & \textbf{time} \\ \textbf{accept} & \textbf{step} & \textbf{f$

Features extraction

Page 77 :

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 78 / 114

Feature extraction [Image processi](#page-0-0)[ng : Object detection and tracking](#page-37-0)

Page 80 :

[Feature ext](#page-1-0)raction

[Example : robot detecti](#page-36-0)[on](#page-38-0)

Tests Feature extraction Feature Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking

HOG+SVM

- ▶ Application : Persons detector
- **▷ HOG : Histograms of Oriented Gradients**
- \triangleright The intent of a feature descriptor is to generalize the object in such a way that the same object (in this case a person) produces as close as possible to the same feature descriptor when viewed under different conditions. This makes the classification task easier.
- \triangleright The creators of this approach trained a Support Vector Machine (a type of machine learning algorithm for classification), or "SVM", to recognize HOG descriptors of people.

$C.$ BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML $81 / 114$

HOG : entire person is represented by a single feature vector

Page 82 :

... def sliding_window (image , stepSize , windowSize): # slide a window across the image for y in range (0, image.shape [0], stepSize): for x in range (0, image shape [1], stepSize): # yield the current window yield (x, y, image[y:y + windowSize[1], x:x + windowSize $[0]$

Video : Sliding Window for Object Detection.mp4

Page 85 :

Demo : python3 sliding window.py –image images/image.jpg

Page 86

HOG+SVM : sliding detection

ap . add argument (" -i", " -- image", required = True, help = " Path to the image") args = vars (ap . parse_args ()) # load the image and define the window width and height image = cv2 . imread (args [" image "]) $(vinW, winH) = (128, 128)$ # loop over the image pyramid for resized in py ramid(image, scale=1.5): # loop over the sliding window for each layer of the pyramid for (x, y, window) in sliding_window (resized, stepSize=32, windowSize=($winW.$ winH $)$): # if the window does not meet our desired window size, ignore it if window . shape [0] != winH or window . shape [1] != winW : continue # WHERE APPLY A CLASSIFIER # since we do not have a classifier , we will just draw the window clone = resized . copy () $cv2. \text{rectangle} (clone, (x, y), (x + winW, y + winH), (0, 255, 0),$ $2)$ cv2 . imshow (" Window " , clone) cv2 . waitKey (1) time . sleep (0.025) C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 85 / 114

- \triangleright At each position of the detector window, a HOG descriptor is computed for the detection window.
- \triangleright This descriptor is then shown to the trained SVM, which classifies it as either "person" or "not a person".
- \triangleright To recognize persons at different scales, the image is subsampled to multiple sizes. Each of these subsampled images is searched

construct the argument parser and parse the arguments ap = argparse . ArgumentParser ()

Tests Feature extraction Feature Feature extraction

Image processing : Object detection and tracking

Tests Feature extraction Feature Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking HOG : Step 1 : Preprocessing

64 x 128 100 x 200 Original Image: 720 x 475

 $\mathbf 0$

 $\mathbf{1}$

 -1 $\overline{0}$ -1 $\mathbf{1}$

Page 87 :

As mentioned earlier HOG feature descriptor used for pedestrian detection is calculated on a 64x128 patch of an image. Of course, an image may be of any size. Typically patches at multiple scales are analyzed at many image locations. The only constraint is that the patches being analyzed have a fixed aspect ratio. In our case, the patches
need to have an aspect ratio of 1 :2. For example, they can be 100x200, 128x256, or 1000x2000 but not 10 To illustrate this point I have shown a large image of size 720x475. We have selected a patch of size 100x200 for calculating our HOG feature descriptor. This patch is cropped out of an image and resized to 64x128. Now we are ready to calculate the HOG descriptor for this image patch.

Page 88 :

To calculate a HOG descriptor, we need to first calculate the horizontal and vertical gradients ; after all, we want to calculate the histogram of gradients. This is easily achieved by filtering the image with the following kernels.

HOG : Step 2 : Calculate the Gradient Images

Read image $im = cv2 . imread('bolt . png')$ $im = np.f$ loat32(im) / 255.0

Calculate gradient $gx = cv2. Sobel(img, cv2.CV_32F, 1, 0, ksize=1)$ $gy = cy2. Sobel(img, cv2.CV_32F, 0, 1, ksize=1)$

Python Calculate gradient magnitude and direction (in degrees) mag, angle = cv2. cartToPolar (gx, gy, angleInDegrees=True)

Left : Absolute value of x-gradient. Center : Absolute value of y-gradient. Right : Magnitude of gradient.

Page 89

We can also achieve the same results, by using Sobel operator in OpenCV with kernel size 1.

Page 90 :

Notice, the x-gradient fires on vertical lines and the y-gradient fires on horizontal lines. The magnitude of gradient fires where ever there is a sharp change in intensity. None of them fire when the region is smooth. I have deliberately left out the image showing the direction of gradient because direction shown as an image does not convey much. The gradient image removed a lot of non-essential information (e.g. constant colored background), but highlighted outlines. In other words, you can look at the gradient image and still easily say there is a person in the picture. At every pixel, the gradient has a magnitude and a direction. For color images, the gradients of the three channels are evaluated (as shown in the figure above). The magnitude of gradient at a pixel is the maximum of the magnitude of gradients of the three channels, and the angle is the angle corresponding to the maximum gradient.

Tests Feature extraction Feature Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking HOG : Step 3 : Calculate Histogram of Gradients in 8x8

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr **IML** 91 / 114

Center : The RGB patch and gradients represented using arrows. Right : The gradients in the same patch represented as numbers

Page 91 :

In this step, the image is divided into 8x8 cells and a histogram of gradients is calculated for each 8x8 cells. We will learn about the histograms in a moment, but before we go there let us first understand why we have divided the image into 8x8 cells. One of the important reasons to use a feature descriptor to describe a patch of an image is that it provides a compact representation. An 8x8 image patch contains $8x8x3 = 192$ pixel values. The gradient of this patch contains 2 values (magnitude and direction) per pixel which adds up to $8x8x2 = 128$ numbers. By the end of this section we will see how these 128 numbers are represented using a 9-bin histogram which can be stored as an array of 9 numbers. Not only is the representation more compact, calculating a histogram over a patch makes this represenation more robust to noise. Individual graidents may have noise, but a histogram over 8x8 patch makes the representation much less sensitive to noise.

But why 8x8 patch ? Why not 32x32 ? It is a design choice informed by the scale of features we are looking for. HOG was used for pedestrian detection initially. 8x8 cells in a photo of a pedestrian scaled to 64x128 are big enough to capture interesting features (e.g. the face, the top of the head etc.).

The histogram is essentially a vector (or an array) of 9 bins (numbers) corresponding to angles $0, 20, 40, 60 \ldots$ 160.

Page 92 :

If you are a beginner in computer vision, the image in the center is very informative. It shows the patch of the image overlaid with arrows showing the gradient — the arrow shows the direction of gradient and its length shows the magnitude. Notice how the direction of arrows points to the direction of change in intensity and the magnitude shows how big the difference is.

On the right, we see the raw numbers representing the gradients in the 8x8 cells with one minor difference — the angles are between 0 and 180 degrees instead of 0 to 360 degrees. These are called "unsigned" gradients because a gradient and it's negative are represented by the same numbers. In other words, a gradient arrow and the one 180 degrees opposite to it are considered the same. But, why not use the 0 – 360 degrees ? Empirically it has been shown that unsigned gradients work better than signed gradients for pedestrian detection. Some implementations of HOG will allow you to specify if you want to use signed gradients.

The next step is to create a histogram of gradients in these 8x8 cells. The histogram contains 9 bins corresponding to angles 0, 20, 40 . . . 160.

Histogram of Gradients

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 93 / 114

Histogram of Gradients

Page 93 :

The following figure illustrates the process. We are looking at magnitude and direction of the gradient of the same 8x8 patch as in the previous figure. A bin is selected based on the direction, and the vote (the value that goes into the bin) is selected based on the magnitude. Let's first focus on the pixel encircled in blue. It has an angle (direction) of 80 degrees and magnitude of 2. So it adds 2 to the 5th bin. The gradient at the pixel encircled using red has an angle of 10 degrees and magnitude of 4. Since 10 degrees is half way between 0 and 20, the vote by the pixel splits evenly into the two bins.

Page 94 :

There is one more detail to be aware of. If the angle is greater than 160 degrees, it is between 160 and 180, and we know the angle wraps around making 0 and 180 equivalent. So in the example below, the pixel with angle 165 degrees contributes proportionally to the 0 degree bin and the 160 degree bin.

Tests Feature extraction Feature Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking HOG : Step 3 : Calculate Histogram of Gradients in 8x8 cells

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 95 / 114

Page 95 :

The contributions of all the pixels in the 8x8 cells are added up to create the 9-bin histogram. For the patch above, it looks like this.

In our representation, the y-axis is 0 degrees. You can see the histogram has a lot of weight near 0 and 180 degrees, which is just another way of saying that in the patch gradients are pointing either up or down.

Page 96 :

In the previous step, we created a histogram based on the gradient of the image. Gradients of an image are sensitive to overall lighting. If you make the image darker by dividing all pixel values by 2, the gradient magnitude will change by half, and therefore the histogram values will change by half. Ideally, we want our descriptor to be independent of lighting variations. In other words, we would like to "normalize" the histogram so they are not affected by lighting variations.

Before I explain how the histogram is normalized, let's see how a vector of length 3 is normalized.

Let's say we have an RGB color vector [128, 64, 32]. The length of this vector is $\sqrt{128^2 + 64^2 + 32^2} = 146.64$. This is also called the L2 norm of the vector. Dividing each element of this vector by 146.64 gives us a normalized vector [0.87, 0.43, 0.22]. Now consider another vector in which the elements are twice the value of the first vector $2 \times$ [128, 64, 32] = [256, 128, 64]. You can work it out yourself to see that normalizing [256, 128, 64] will result in [0.87, 0.43, 0.22], which is the same as the normalized version of the original RGB vector. You can see that normalizing a vector removes the scale.

Now that we know how to normalize a vector, you may be tempted to think that while calculating HOG you can simply normalize the 9x1 histogram the same way we normalized the 3x1 vector above. It is not a bad idea, but a better idea is to normalize over a bigger sized block of 16x16. A 16x16 block has 4 histograms which can be concatenated to form a 36×1 element vector and it can be normalized just the way a $3x1$ vector is normalized. The window is then moved by 8 pixels (see animation) and a normalized 36x1 vector is calculated over this window and the process is repeated.

To calculate the final feature vector for the entire image patch, the 36x1 vectors are concatenated into one giant vector. What is the size of this vector? Let us calculate

- \bullet How many positions of the 16x16 blocks do we have? There are 7 horizontal and 15 vertical positions making a total of 7 x $15 = 105$ positions.
- Each 16x16 block is represented by a 36x1 vector. So when we concatenate them all into one gaint vector we obtain a $36x105 = 3780$ dimensional vector.

Page 98 :

Page 97 :

The HOG descriptor of an image patch is usually visualized by plotting the 9x1 normalized histograms in the 8x8 cells. See image on the side. You will notice that dominant direction of the histogram captures the shape of the person, especially around the torso and legs.

Define a function to get the current frame from the webcam def get_frame(cap, scaling_factor):

Read the current frame from the video capture object $_{-}$, frame = cap.read()

Resize the image frame = cv2.resize(frame, None, fx=scaling_factor, fy = scaling_factor , interpolation = cv2 . INTER_AREA)

Convert to grayscale gray = cv2 . cvtColor (frame , cv2 . COLOR_RGB2GRAY)

return gray

Page 102 :

[Feature ext](#page-1-0)raction

Feature extraction

[Image processi](#page-0-0)[ng : Object detection and tracking](#page-37-0)

- **▷ CAMShift : consider a region of interest**
- \triangleright Select the region

[CAMShift](#page-36-0)

CAMShift . Color space tracker : define the color first. . CAMShift : consider a region of interest . Select the region IML LFeature extraction \Box Image processing : Object detection and tracking \Box CAMShift

Page 106 :

2019-08-29

Demo : colorspacing.py Demo : camshift.py

Tests Feature extraction

Feature extraction Image processing : Object detection and tracking

CAMShift

import cv2 import numpy as np

Define a class to handle object tracking related functionality class ObjectTracker (object): $def __init__ (self _\, scaling _\, factor = 0.5)$: # Initialize the video capture object self . cap = cv2 . VideoCapture (0) # Capture the frame from the webcam $\overline{}$, self.frame = self.cap.read() # Scaling factor for the captured frame self . scaling_factor = scaling_factor # Resize the frame self.frame = cv2.resize(self.frame, None, fx = self . scaling_factor , fy = self . scaling_factor , interpolation = cv2 . INTER_AREA)

- # Create a window to display the frame cv2.namedWindow('Object.Tracker') # Set the mouse callback function to track the mouse cv2.setMouseCallback ('Object₁₁Tracker', self.mouse_event) # Initialize variable related to rectangular region selection self . selection = None # Initialize variable related to starting position self . drag_start = None # Initialize variable related to the state of tracking
- self . tracking_state = 0

C. BUCHE - buche@enib.fr IML 107 / 114

Define a method to track the mouse events def mouse event (self, event, x, v, flags, param): # Convert x and y coordinates into 16-bit numpy integers $x, y = np.int16([x, y])$ # Check if a mouse button down event has occurred if event $== cv2$ $EVENT$ $LRIITTONDOWN$: $self.drag_start = (x, y)$ $self. tracking_state = 0$ # Check if the user has started selecting the region if self.drag start: if flags & cv2. EVENT FLAG LBUTTON : # Extract the dimensions of the frame h, $w = self-frame.shape[:2]$ # Get the initial position xi . vi = self.drag_start # Get the max and min values $x0$, $y0 = np.maximum(0, np.minimum([xi, yi], [x, y]))$ $x1$, $y1 = np.minimum([w, h], np.maximum([xi, y_1], [x, y]))$ # Reset the selection variable self . selection = None # Finalize the rectangular selection if $x1 - x0 > 0$ and $y1 - y0 > 0$: self.selection = $(x0, y0, x1, y1)$

Page 107 :

Page 108

Check if the user has selected the region if self . selection : # Extract the coordinates of the selected rectangle $x0$, $y0$, $x1$, $y1 = self.setlection$

Extract the tracking window self.track_window = $(x0, y0, x1-x0, y1-y0)$

Extract the regions of interest hsv_roi = $hsv[y0:y1, x0:x1]$ $\texttt{mask_roi} = \texttt{mask}[\texttt{y0}: \texttt{y1}, \texttt{x0}: \texttt{x1}]$

Compute the histogram of the region of # interest in the HSV image using the mask hist = $cv2$. calcHist ([hsv_roi], [0], mask_roi, [16] , [0 , 180])

Page 110 :

Check if the system in the "tracking" mode if self . tracking_state == 1: # Reset the selection variable self . selection = None # Compute the histogram back projection hsv_backproj = cv2.calcBackProject ([hsv], [0], self.hist, [0, 180], 1) # Compute bitwise AND between histogram # backprojection and the mask hsv_backproj &= mask # Define termination criteria for the tracker term_crit = (cv2 . TERM_CRITERIA_EPS | cv2 . TERM_CRITERIA_COUNT , 10 , 1)

